UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K

X

WAGERLOGIC LIMITED,

Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, : Civil Action No. 11 CV

4310

- against -

REPLY OF

PARAMOUNT DIGITAL ENTERTAINMENT, a division of

PARAMOUNT

PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION,

DIGITAL ENTERTAINMENT TO

CRYPTOLOGIC

Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff,

LIMITED'S

COUNTERCLAIM

- against -

CRYPTOLOGIC LIMITED,

GAMING PORTALS LIMITED, WAGERLOGIC CASINO SOFTWARE LIMITED and AMAYA GAMING GROUP INC.,

Third-Party Defendants.

x

:

REPLY

Defendant Paramount Digital Entertainment, a division of Paramount Pictures

Corporation ("Paramount," "Defendant" or "Counter-Plaintiff"), for its Reply to the

Counterclaim ("Counterclaim") interposed against it by Third-Party Defendant Cryptologic

("Cryptologic," and "Third-Party Defendant"), states as follows:

- 1. Paramount denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 180 of the Counterclaim.
- 2. Paramount denies the allegations contained in paragraph 181 of the Counterclaim.

- 3. Paramount denies the allegations contained in paragraph 182 of the Counterclaim.
- 4. Paramount denies the allegations contained in paragraph 183 of the Counterclaim.
- 5. Paramount denies the allegations contained in paragraph 184 of the Counterclaim.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

6. Cryptologic's Counterclaim fails to state a cause of action or claim upon which relief can be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense

7. Cryptologic's Counterclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of estoppel, waiver, laches, ratification, repudiation and unjust enrichment.

Third Affirmative Defense

8. Cryptologic's Counterclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by Cryptologic's unclean hands, including its conversion of Paramount's intellectual property.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

9. The Counterclaim fails to state a cause of action or claim upon which an award of damages, attorneys' fees, costs or other disbursements and/or relief can be granted.

Fifth Affirmative Defense

10. Cryptologic's Counterclaim is barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff lacks standing to bring one or more of the claims and/or are not the real parties in interest.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

11. Cryptologic's Counterclaim is barred because its own acts or omissions caused the alleged injury and damages complained of in this lawsuit.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

12. Cryptologic's Counterclaim is barred because acts or omissions of third parties caused the alleged injury and damages complained of in this lawsuit.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

13. Cryptologic's Counterclaim for declaratory judgment is barred as a matter of law because Plaintiff has an adequate remedy at law and because it seeks an advisory opinion.

Ninth Affirmative Defense

14. Cryptologic's Counterclaim is improperly pled, includes legal argument, legal conclusions and surplusage which should be struck.

Tenth Affirmative Defense

15. Cryptologic's Counterclaim for injunctive relief is barred by the language of the Merchandising License Agreement between WagerLogic Limited and Paramount Digital Entertainment effective December 15, 2008.

Eleventh Affirmative Defense

16. The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Cryptologic's Counterclaim.

Twelfth Affirmative Defense

17. Paramount in all times acted in good faith.

New York, New York July 25, 2012

GAGE SPENCER & FLEMING LLP

G. Robert Gage, Jr.

grgage@gagespencer.com

Sara Jane Daugherty

sdaugherty@gagespencer.com

410 Park Avenue, Suite 900

New York, New York 10022

Telephone: (212) 768-4900

Facsimile: (212) 768-3629

Attorneys for Paramount Digital Entertainment, a Division of Paramount Pictures Corporation